ΤΑΞΙΝΟΜΗΣΗ ΚΑΙ ΑΝΤΙΜΕΤΩΠΙΣΗ ΤΟΥ ΔΙΑΒΗΤΗ ΤΗΣ ΕΓΚΥΜΟΣΥΝΗΣ

> Ν. Βιτωράτος MD Καθηγητής Μαιευτικής -Γυναικολογίας ΕΚΠΑ Ιθάκη 2013

 Prevalence of Diagnosed Diabetes by Age, United States, 1980–2004



http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/statistics/prev/national/figbyage.htm



# **Classification of diabetes mellitus**

### **Type I diabetes mellitus**

Pancreatic islet beta cell destruction

Usually presents in young people <30 years old

Family history of other autoimmune conditions

### **Type 2 diabetes mellitus**

Defects of both insulin secretion and of insulin resistance

Usually age >30 years

Usually obese (80%)

Family history of type 2 DM

### Other specific types

Endocrine

Drugs and toxins (corticosteroids)

#### Gestational diabetes mellitus

Any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy  Among pregnant women, 2-14% ≈ 7% will develop gestational diabetes (GD)

• GD is a heterogeneous group of women and the term GD fails to specify whether the women require dietary alone or treatment with diet and insulin or oral medications and also the severity of the disease.

Negrato CA et al: Diab Metab Synd 2012;4(1):41

Complications	
Infant macrosomia >4000g	
LGA	
Polyhydramnios	
Perinatal mortality	
Preeclampsia	
Primary cesarean delivery	

## (RR-95% CI)

1,81 (1,47-2,22) 1,53 (1,39-1,69) 3,5 (3,3-3,8) 1,55 (0,88-2,73) 1,69 (1,31-2,18) 1,23 (1,01-1,51)

Wendland et al., Gestational diabetes and pregnancy outcomes **(in untreated women)** – a systematic **review** of the World Health Organization (WHO) and the International Association of Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) diagnostic criteria. Pregnancy and Childbirth 2012, 12:23

## **Neonatal Morbidity**

Outcome	No (%)
Shoulder dystocia*:	25 (14)
Clavicle fracture	4 (2)
Erb palsy	1 (1)
Neonatal hypoglycaemia:	
<2.6 mmol/l	207 (64)
<2.0 mmol/l	141 (44)
Hyperbilirubinaemia†:	82 (25)
Respiratory disorders:	47 (15)
Infant respiratory distress syndrome	17 (5)
Wet lung	22 (7)
Others	8 (2)
Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy‡	16 (5)
Apgar score <7 at five minutes	16 (5)
*% of vaginal deliveries (n=179). †Needing treatment (phototherapy). ‡Clinical diagnosis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.	

### Evers IM et al: BMJ 2004;238:915-919

According to International Association of the Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG)

## 1. Low Risk

- Member of an ethnic group with low incidence of GD or GD type 2
- Aged younger than 25 years
- Normal weight before pregnancy
- No history of poor obstetrical outcome
- No history of abnormal glucose metabolism

Landon MB and Gabbe SG. Obstet Gynecol. 2011;118:1379-93

## 2. High Risk

- History GD or prediabetes (impaired fasting glucose or impaired glucose tolerance
- Fetal malformation
- Stillbirth
- Successive abortion
- Birth weight > 4500 g
- Obesity
- Age >35 years old
- Vascular diseases
- Clinical symptom of diabetes (eg glucosuria)
- Strong family history of type 2 diabetes

Landon MB and Gabbe SG. Obstet Gynecol 2011;118:1379-93

## in previous pregnancies

Table 1 Rates of congenital anomalies for the diabetes populations studied

	No. of infants or fetuses	All major congenital anomalies		Major congenital anomalies (excluding aneuploidy)	
		n	% (95% CI)	n	% (95% CI)
Type 1 diabetes	221	16	7.2 (4.2–11.5)	13	5.9 (3.2-9.8)
Type 2 diabet	es:				
known	317	14	4.4 (2.4–7.3)	14	4.4 2.4-7.3)
newly recognized	237	11	4.6 (2.3-8.2)	11	4.6 (2.3-8.2)
Other GDM	1585	14	0.9 (0.5-1.5)	14	0.9 (0.5–1.5)
Total GDM	1822	25	1.4 (0.9-2.0)	25	1.4 (0.9–2.0)

Table 2 Numbers of fetuses or offspring with major congenital anomalies according to type of diabetes and major system affected

	Type 1	Type 2 (known)	Type 2 (newly recognized)
Cardiac	6	7	3
Musculoskeletal	3	2	1
Reno-genital	2	1	2
Neurological	1	2	1
Multiple	1	2	4
Aneuploidy	3	0	0

Farrel T et al:Diabetic Medicine 2002;19(4):323-326

### A new strategy in regarding to the diagnosis of GD



(Based on the Findings from the HAPO Study)

# Αντιμετώπιση διαβήτη

# Effects of treatment in women with gestational diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis

Karl Horvath, project manager EBM review center,<sup>1</sup> head of outpatient facility diabetes and metabolism,<sup>2</sup> Klaus Koch, project manager,<sup>3</sup> Klaus Jeitler, scientific assistant,<sup>1</sup> Eva Matyas, scientific assistant,<sup>1</sup> Ralf Bender, head of department of medical biometry,<sup>3</sup> Hilda Bastian, head of department of health information,<sup>3</sup> Stefan Lange, deputy director,<sup>3</sup> Andrea Siebenhofer, professor for chronic care and health services research,<sup>6</sup> project manager<sup>3</sup>

BMJ: 2010;340:C1395

Perinatal and neonatal mortality	Intervention	Control	Odds ratio (95% Cl)	Weight (%)	Odds ratio (95% CI)
Crowther 200518-30	0/506	5/524		18.2	0.19 (0.04 to 0.96)
Landon 200921	0/477	0/455			
O'Sullivan 196623	13/307	15/308		81.8	0.86 (0.41 to 1.84)
Test for heterogeneity: $\chi$	2=2.72, df=1, P=0.05	99, I <sup>2</sup> =63.3%	5 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		(d) 0.5
Large for gestational ag	ge				
Bonomo 200517	9/150	21/150		8.9	0.39 (0.17 to 0.89)
Crowther 200518-20	68/506	115/524		55.2	0.55 (0.40 to 0.77)
Landon 2009 <sup>21</sup>	34/477	66/454		31.4	0.45 (0.29 to 0.70)
Langer 198922	4/63	15/63		4.4	0.22 (0.07 to 0.70)
Total	115/1196	217/1191	-	100.0	0.48 (0.38 to 0.63)
Test for heterogeneity: y	<sup>2</sup> =2.79, df=3, P=0.42	25. 17=0%			0.40 (0.50 10 0.02)
Test for overall effect: z=	-5.85, P+0.001, t=0				
Macrosomia			Department in a side		
Recome 2005 <sup>17</sup>	8/150	16/150			A 17 ID 2010 1 10
Counting 200518-20	40/506	110/536		0.1	0.47 (0.20 to 1.14)
London 200011	49/900	65/154		47.8	0.40 (0.28 to 0.58)
Officiality 104623	13/307	40/208		29.2	0.37 (0.23 to 0.59)
O Sumvan 1960.	13/30/	40/308		15.0	0.30 (0.16 to 0.57)
Test for between she	3-0.01 41-2 0-0.01	231/1430		100.0	0.38 (0.30 to 0.49)
Test for overall effect: z=	-7.55, P(0.001, t=0	ca, r≕u a			
Concil for excitational as					
Smatt for gestational ap	Be.				
Bonomo 20051	13/150	9/150		12.8	1.49 (0.62 to 3.59)
Crowther 200518-30	33/506	38/524		42.7	0.89 (0.55 to 1.45)
Landon 2009 <sup>21</sup>	36/477	29/455		38.8	1.20 (0.72 to 1.99)
Langer 1989 <sup>22</sup>	6/63	4/63		- 5.7	1.55 (0.42 to 5.79)
Total	88/1196	80/1192	-	100.0	1.10 (0.80 to 1.51)
Test for heterogeneity: $\chi$	<sup>2</sup> =1.54, df=3, P=0.67	2,12=0%			
Test for overall effect: z~	0.61, P=0.543, t=0				
Neonatal hypoglycaemi	a with glucose infus	lon			
Crowther 200518-20	35/506	27/524		51.0	1.37 (0.82 to 2.30)
Landon 2009 <sup>21</sup>	25/475	31/455		49.0	0.76 (0.44 to 1.31)
Test for heterogeneity: $\chi^2$	<sup>2</sup> =2.36, df=1, P=0.12	5, l <sup>2</sup> =57.6%			
Birth trauma					
Crowther 200518-20	0/506	3/524		30.9	0.23 (0.03 to 1.64)
Landon 2009 <sup>21</sup>	3/476	6/455		69.1	0.49 (0.13 to 1.81)
Total	3/982	9/979		100.0	0.39 (0.13 to 1.15)
Test for heterogeneity: $\chi^2$	<sup>1</sup> =0.39, df=1, P=0.53	3, 12-0%			
Test for overall effect: z=	-1.71, P=0.088				
Neonatal Intensive care					
Bonomo 200517	5/150	7/150		10.6	0.70 (0.22 to 2.27)
Landon 200921	43/477	53/455		80.6	0.75 (0.49 to 1.15)
Langer 198922	4/63	7/63		8.8	0.54 (0.15 to 1.95)
Total	52/690	67/668	-	100.0	0.73 (0.50 to 1.06)
Test for heterogeneity: x2	=0.23, df=2, P=0.89	3, 12=0%		1000	and a failing in signifi
lest for overall effect: z=	1.65 P=0.098 r=0	28 - U.S.S.	0.1 0.25 0.5 1 2 4	10	
And the second second second second	and a start of a low		Favours	Favours	

Fig 3 | Neonatal outcomes in pool A (DerSimonian and Laird random effects model, except for perinatal and neonatal morality and birth trauma, which use Peto fixed effects model)

# Management of women with GDM includes

## 1. Treatment of the women

- The role of diet
- The role of exercise
- Blood glucose testing
- The role of insulin
- The role of antidiabetic agents
- 2. Antepartum fetal surveillance tests
- 3. Timing and mode of delivery
- 4. Postpartum follow-up

## The role of diet



- Most of the women should receive a dietary program of 2000-2500 kcal daily
- Carbohydrate intake should be limited to 33-40% of calories
- Complex carbohydrates instead of simple carbohydrates
- Low-saturated fatty acids (SFA) (<7% calories)

Roussel MA et al: Am J Clin Nutr 2012;95:9-16

 The American Diabetes Association suggests for obese women (BMI>30) caloric restriction of 30-33%

APA 2000;70-86

 Caloric restriction less than 1500 kcal/day is not recommended in treating of GDM Jacqueminet S et al: Diabetes Metab 2010;;36:658-71 Crane JM, White J, Murphy P, Burrage L, Hutchens D. **The effect of gestational weight gain by body mass index on maternal and neonatal outcomes.** 

J Obstet Gynaecol Can. 2009 31(1):28-35.



### Conclusion

The effects of gestational weight gain on pregnancy outcome depend on the woman's pre-pregnancy BMI. Pregnancy weight gains of 6.7-11.2 kg (15-25 lb) in overweight and obese women, and less than 6.7 kg (15 lb) in morbidly obese women are associated with a reduction in the risk of adverse outcome.

# • Regular exercise and healthy lifestyle is recommended for GD women

Avery MD, et al: Obstet Gynecol 1997;89:10-15

 Self blood glucose monitoring is essential for GDM women (four times per day glucose testing is recommended: fasting glucose determination followed by three postprandial tests)

> Crowther CA et al: N Engl J Med 2005;352:2477-86 Landon MB et al: N Engl J Med 2009;361:1339-48

 Some authors prefer 1 hour postprandial assessment of glucose levels and others 2-hour postprandial assessment

Metzger BE et al: Diabetes Care 2007;30 (suppl. 2): S 251-60

# Target Plasma Glucose Levels in Pregnancy

Time	mg/dL
Before breakfast	60–90
Before lunch, supper, bedtime snack	60–105
2 h after meals	At or below 120
2 ам to 6 ам	Above 60

#### Longer O et al: Am J Obstet Gynecol 1994;170:1036-46

# The role of insulin

a) Insulin is the medication for women who fail to achieve satisfactory glucose control

 b) those whose the fetuses present to have abdominal circumference (greater than the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile)

Kjos SL et al: Diabetes Care 2001;24:1904-10



Metformin versus Insulin for the Treatment of Gestational Diabetes

Janet A. Rowan, M.B., Ch.B., William M. Hague, M.D., Wanzhen Gao, Ph.D., Malcolm R. Battin, M.B., Ch.B., and M. Peter Moore, M.B., Ch.B., for the MiG Trial Investigators\* N Engl J Med 2008;358:2003-15

Variable	Metformin Group (N=363)	Insulin Group (N=370)	P Value
Neonatal			
Gestational age at birth — wk	38.3±1.4	38.5±1.3	0.02
Birth weight — g	3372±572	3413±569	0.33
Birth-weight percentile	54.6±30.1	54.3±31	0.91
Birth weight <10th percentile — no. (%)	26 (7.2)	36 (9.7)	0.21
Birth weight >90th percentile — no. (%)	70 (19.3)	69 (18.6)	0.83
Head circumference — cm	34.8±1.6	$34.9 \pm 1.6$	0.24
Crown-heel length — cm	50.3±2.8	50.3±2.4	0.87
Crown-rump length — cm <sup>+</sup>	33.4±2.7	33.6±2.6	0,46
Chest circumference — cm‡	33.9±2.3	34.1±2.5	0.33
Abdominal circumference — cm§	32.8±2.7	32.8±2.8	0.91
Mid-upper-arm circumference — cm§	11.2±1.3	11.1±1.4	0.51
Triceps skin-fold thickness — mm¶	5.2±1.6	5.1±1.2	0.30
Subscapular skin-fold thickness — mm	5.2±1.5	5.2±1.3	0.60
Ponderal index**	2.6±0.3	2.7±0.3	0.28
Umbilical-cord serum insulin concentration — pmol/liter††			0.18
Median	50.0	40.4	
Interguartile range	26.3-81.7	20.3-71.4	
Maternaltz			
Glycemic control from randomization until delivery			
Capillary glucose level after an overnight fast — mg/dl	93.6±10.8	91.8±12.6	0.24
2-Hr postprandial capillary glucose level mg/di	111.6±10.8	115.2±16.2	0.003
Glycemic control at 1 week after randomization			
Capillary glucose level after an overnight fast — mg/dl	100.8±16.2	99.0±18.0	0.31
Postprandial capillary glucose level — mg/dl	117.0±16.2	120.6±18.0	0.006
Glycemic control during the last 2 wk before delivery			
Capillary glucose level after an overnight fast — mg/dl	90.0±10.8	88.2±12.6	0.16
2-Hr postprandial capillary glucose — mg/dl	109.8±12.6	111.6±18.0	0.19
Glycated hemoglobin at wk 36–37 — 96%	5.6±0.5	5.7±0.6	0.25
Plasma glucose level at wk 36–37 after an overnight fast — mg/dl¶¶	81.0±10.8	79.2+12.6	0.10
Capillary glucose level 12 hr before delivery — mg/dll	97.2±10.8	95.4±16.2	0.35
Hypertensive complications — no. (96)***			
Gestational hypertension	14 (3.9)	23 (6.2)	0.14
Preeclampsia	20 (5.5)	26 (7.0)	0.40
Results of 75-g oral glucose-tolerance test at 6 to 8 wk post partum			
Fasting plasma glucose level mg/dl	91.8+14.4	91.8+16.2	0.34
2-Hr postprandial plasma glucose level — mg/dl	115.2+43.2	115.2±41.4	0.87
Diabetes — no./total no. (%)	23/270 (8.5)	15/282 (5.3)	0.14
Impaired glucose level after an overnight fast — no. /total no. (96) 111	13/270 (4.8)	13/282 (4.6)	0.91
Impaired glucose tolerance — no /total no. (%)	30/270 (11.1)	41/282 (14.5)	0.23
Body-mass index	31.6±7.6	31.8±7.5	0.74
	<b>D</b> reven	ALC and LA	

## Niromanesh S, Alavi A, Sharbaf FR, Amjadi N, Moosavi S, Akbari S.

# Metformin compared with insulin in the management of gestational diabetes mellitus: a randomized clinical trial.

Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2012;98(3):422-9.

Division of Maternal Fetal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Women Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

### **Conclusion:**

Metformin is an effective and safe alternative treatment to insulin for women with GDM. This study does not show significant risk of maternal or neonatal adverse outcome with the use of metformin.  However, 46% of women receiving metformin require insulin or are switched to insulin therapy in order to achieve glycemic control

Landon MB, Goble SG. Obstet Gynecol, 2011

A sonogram with measurement of growthrump distance should be performed early in pregnancy to confirm gestational dating

 First trimester nuchal translucency screening should be offered

 Sonogram should be performed at 16-20 weeks of gestation for fetal anomalies

Sonograms should de done at 4 weeks intervals and (the 38<sup>th</sup> week of gestation) for monitoring of fetal growth and measure AF volume

## **Antepartum fetal surveillance tests**

### • Group at low risk for antepartum and intrapartum death

- Women who are well controlled with diet only
- Women with no complications

### Group at high risk for antepartum and intrapartum death

- Women with complications (hypertension, macrosomia, prior stillbirth, hydramnios)
- Women who require insulin or oral agents

## NST or BP trice-weekly at 32-34 weeks of gestation



Landon MB and Gable SG, Diabetes, 34:50-4, 1985 Landon MB, Gable SG. Obstet Gynecol 2011;118:1379-93

## Timing and node of delivery

# ADA (American Diabetes Association)

AGOG (American College of Ob/Gyn)

Delivery during the 38th week is recommended unless obstetric considerations dictate otherwise. Prolongation of gestation past 38 weeks increases the risk of fetal macrosomia without reducing cesarean rates. The timing of delivery in GDM remains relatively open. If estimated fetal weight is 4,500 g or more, cesarean delivery may be considered. Induce/elective cesarean after 38 weeks if normally grown fetus; glucose monitoring hourly target 4–7 mmol/l if higher intravenous dextrose/insulin

NICE

(National Institute for

Health and Clinical

Excellence in the U.K.)

Simmods D et al: Diabetes Care 2010;33:34-37

Postpartum follow-up

### The risk of developing Diabetes type 2

### Type 2 diabetes mellitus after gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Leanne Bellamy, Juan-Pablo Casas, Aroon D Hingorani, David Williams

Lancet 2009;373:1773-79



Risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) after gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM)

x-axis is log scale. Each solid square represents a relative risk. Horizontal lines indicate 95% Cls. df=degrees of freedom. \*Dates not available.

# Follow-up of up to 10 years





Ob Gynecol 2009;113:1419-21

## OBSTETRICS Gestational diabetes: risk of recurrence in subsequent pregnancies

Darios Getahun, MD, MPH; Michael J. Fassett, MD; Steven J. Jacobsen, MD, PhD

**OBJECTIVE:** We sought to examine the recurrence risk of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) in a subsequent pregnancy and determine whether recurrence risk is modified by race/ethnicity.

**STUDY DESIGN:** We used the Kaiser Permanente Southern California longitudinally linked records (1991-2008) to study women with first 2 (n = 65,132) and first 3 (n = 13,096) singleton pregnancies. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) were used to estimate the magnitude of recurrence.

**RESULTS:** Risks of GDM in the second pregnancy among women with and without previous GDM were 41.3% and 4.2%, respectively (OR, 13.2; 95% confidence interval, 12.0–14.6). The recurrence risk of

GDM in the third pregnancy was stronger when women had GDM in both prior pregnancies (OR, 25.9; 95% confidence interval, 17.4–38.4). Hispanics and Asian/Pacific Islanders have higher risks of recurrence.

**CONCLUSION:** A pregnancy complicated by GDM is at increased risk for subsequent GDM. The magnitude of risk increases with the number of prior episodes of GDM. These recurrence risks also showed heterogeneity by race-ethnicity.

Key words: gestational diabetes, race/ethnicity, recurrence, subsequent pregnancy

Cite this article as: Getahun D, Fassett MJ, Jacobsen SJ. Gestational diabetes: risk of recurrence in subsequent pregnancies. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2010;203:467.e1-6.



